

Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance Department

CHECKLIST FOR LOCAL PROGRAM COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

Among the powers and duties of the Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance Board outlined in §10.1-2103 of the <u>Code of Virginia</u> is the charge to "[t]ake administrative and legal actions to ensure compliance by counties, cities and towns with the provisions of this chapter including the proper enforcement and implementation of, and continual compliance with, this chapter." In 1997, the Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance Board adopted an <u>Interim Policy for Reviewing Local Program Implementation.</u> The policy joined <u>The Checklist for the Evaluation of Local Program Elements</u> and the <u>Checklist for Evaluation of Comprehensive Plans</u> as tools the Board has approved for the task of local program review and evaluation. This <u>Checklist for Local Program Phase I Compliance</u> Evaluation continues this process.

This Checklist will be used by the Chesapeake Bay Local Assistance Department to evaluate a number of local program components as required by §10.1-2103 of the Act and §9VAC10-20-250 of the Regulations. The checklist will be completed by CBLAD staff.

The Checklist consists of five parts: A review of the Elements of the Local Program; a review of the policies and implementation related to the Land Use and Development Performance Criteria; a review of Program Administration and Enforcement; a review of Site Plans and a Field Investigation component; and, a Conclusions and Recommendations component.

September 2002

Local Program Background Information

I. Elements of the Local Program (9VAC –10-20-60)

Under the Regulations, local governments are required to "develop measures...necessary to comply with the Act and this Chapter." Among the measures that are required to be in place at the local level are the elements listed in 9VAC 10-20-60. The following elements of the local program are to be reviewed as part of gathering background information on the local program. Liaisons should examine these elements for consistency with previous Board approvals; to determine if changes to the program element have been made but not yet reviewed by the Board; and, with an eye toward how each element is used in administering the Act and Regulations.

A. CBPA Map

Does the Department have t			oted CBPA Map?
Are there outstanding Phase to be addressed?YesNo If "Y	•	nditions related to	o the map that have ye
Have there been amendment by the CBLAB?No If "Y		at have not been	reviewed and approved
Has the local CBPA Map digital format?No How is the map used? Is it	·	-	
specific delineations require final determining factor of C	ed on a lot-by-lot		

	ordinances contain the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area protection
Are there outs have yet to be	standing Phase I consistency conditions related to the ordinance that addressed?
Yes	No If "Yes," list them:
CBLAB?	een amendments that have not been reviewed and approved by theNo If "Yes," list them:
What document utilities plan, p Are there outst	nts constitute the local Comprehensive Plan? (e.g. a land use plan
What document utilities plan, p Are there outst	anding Phase II consistency conditions that have yet to be addressed?

	es the locality include "other lands" under its RPA designation? YesNo
Wh	at constitutes these "other lands"?
	Resource Management Area at makes up the locality's Resource Management Area? Does the locality use the A criteria for other "undesignated" land areas?
Wh	Intensely Developed Area es the locality have any Intensely Developed Areas?YesNo at policies or procedures does the local government have for establishing etative buffer over time?
Doe What vegs L 12 of in	es the locality have any Intensely Developed Areas?YesNo at policies or procedures does the local government have for establishing

What policies and procedures has the locality implemented to ensure that indigenous vegetation is preserved to the maximum extent possible consistent with the use and development allowed?
What policies and procedures has the locality implemented that ensure that land development minimizes impervious cover consistent with the use and development allowed?
B. Best Management Practices (9VAC 10-20-120(3))
Does the locality use a BMP Maintenance Agreement or equivalent mechanism? Yes (Attach a copy of the standard BMP Maintenance Agreement)No
What mechanism exists for inspection of the BMP at the time of construction?
What mechanism exists for periodic inspection of the BMP after completion?
Describe the BMP tracking system.
C. Plan of Development Review Process (9VAC 10-20-120(4))
Describe the Plan of Development review process as it relates to developments involving more than 2,500 square feet of land disturbance.
Is the Plan of Development Review process consistent with § 15.2-2286(A)(8) of the Code of Virginia?

D. Erosion and Sediment Control Required for 2,500 Square Feet of La Disturbance (9VAC 10-20-120(6)) Through what process is the local erosion and sediment control ordinance applied land disturbances of more than 2,500 square feet (including the construction of sin family dwellings, and septic tanks and drainfields)?	d to
Describe the erosion and sediment control review and inspection process.	
E. Septic Tank Pump Out Program (9VAC 10-20-120(7)) Is there a database of septic tank locations in CBPAs? If not, how are septic to locations identified and tracked?	ank
Is there a mechanism for notifying property owners of the mandatory 5-year puriout requirement? Describe the process.	mp
Has an initial mailing or other notification been done?YesNo When? What was the response rate?	
Is follow-up planned? Yes No	

What will it consist of?
Have any enforcement cases been undertaken?YesNo What was the outcome of these cases?
F. Stormwater Management Program and Best Management Practices (BMP) Materials (9VAC 10-20-120(8))
Does the Department have a copy of the local BMP Checklist and a copy of any calculation procedures or locally produced guidance documents?
Does the Department have a copy of the local BMP Manual (standards and specifications)?YesNo If "No," what standards are used to evaluate designs?
Does the locality have specific engineering guidance related to BMF design/construction?YesNo Describe the BMP design/construction guidance
Does the locality require standard notes and details?YesNo Describe the standard notes and details.
Describe the BMP tracking database entry form.
Are the BMP inspection schedule and forms complete and adequate? YesNo
Describe the inspection schedule and the procedures used.

Describe	the	local	maintenance	requirements	for	BMPs.
				r of BMPs installe remove per year		
If yes, has to Describe the	he progra	am been ap am and inc	proved by the CB	of the accounting	N	lo
	-			rmit for its storn vater quality per C		_
If yes, is Yes	the adm		of the permit c	ompliant with Dl	EQ requ	irements?
				ement program co llations? (describe)		with the
stormwater	manage	ment plans		is land cover condNo If "No," ount determined?		

Who is responsible for the review of stormwater management plans?
Who is responsible for inspecting and ensuring that BMPs are installed and
maintained properly? What are his qualifications?
Does the locality require, track, and inspect BMPs for single-family residences? YesNo
G. Agricultural and Silvicultural Management (9VAC 10-20-120(9))
Does the locality have a current Memorandum of Understanding with the local Soil and Water Conservation District?YesNo
Does the Department have a copy of the MOU?YesNo
Has the locality supplied the SWCD with a useable copy of the local Chesapeake Bay Preservation Area Maps?YesNo
Has the locality supplied the SWCD with land ownership records (either hard copy, with an update at least once every three years, or digital files)?YesNo
Does the locality have a person designated to attend the SWCD Technical Review Committee Meetings?YesNo
How many meetings have been attended in the past three years? What level of participation does the locality take in Technical Review Committee activities (Liaisons shall contact both the designated attendee and the local SWCD to determine levels of participation).
Does the locality have an enforcement policy relating to agricultural activities covered under the MOU and ordinance?YesNo Describe the policy

Does the locality provide supplementary funding to the SWCD for implementation of the Chesapeake Bay Agricultural Water Quality program?YesNo What is the amount of supplementary funding provided?
Does the locality have a listing of farm tracts that have a Soil and Water Quality Conservation Plan?YesNo
Is there a tracking and follow-up mechanism for prioritizing and identifying landowners that have not signed and/or implemented their Soil and Water Quality Conservation Plan?YesNo
Describe the tracking and follow-up mechanism for prioritizing and identifying landowners that have not signed/or implemented their Soil and Water Quality Conservation Plans?
Does the locality track violations of the Silvicultural Stream-side Management Zone (SMZ)? YesNo
What steps are taken when an SMZ violation is noted?
Does the locality inspect re-vegetation efforts?YesNo How many SMZ violations did the Department of Forestry (DOF) report to the locality during the past year?
H. Wetlands Permitting (9VAC 10-20-120(11))
Does the Department have a copy of any adopted Wetlands Board policies or guidelines?YesNo
How does the locality insure that all required wetlands permits are obtained prior to grading or other on-site activities for the desired uses or development?

How many wetlands permits were granted during the last year?
How many wetlands permits were denied during the past year?
How are projects within the RPA requiring a wetlands permit evaluated for consistency with performance criteria?
I. Resource Protection Area Performance Criteria Information (9VAC 10-20-
130)
What process is used by the locality to determine stream perenniality?
How many buffer encroachment projects were reviewed during the previous year?
How may buffer encroachment projects were approved during the previous year?
How many buffer encroachment projects were denied during the previous year?
Does the locality require Water Quality Impact Assessments (WQIAs) to be submitted prior to permitting buffer encroachments?YesNo
Does the locality differentiate between "major" and "minor" WQIAs? No
What triggers the differentiation?
What are the submittal requirements for a "minor" WQIA?
What are the submittal requirements for a "major" WQIA?

How many of each type of WQIA were reviewed in the past year? Minor WQIAs Major WQIAs
Does the number of WQIAs reviewed correspond to the number of encroachments permitted?YesNo If not, explain the discrepancy
What calculations, forms, spreadsheets, or other materials are used to determine mitigation measures?
Does the locality administratively permit development-wide buffer encroachments to 50 feet?YesNo
Does the locality permit structures to be placed in the landward 50 feet of the RPA buffer when administratively approving encroachments? YesNo
What steps does the locality take to ensure that when buffer encroachments are permitted administratively, that the remaining 50-foot buffer is retained in its existing state, with no encroachments or clearing activities?
Does the locality require approval prior to removal of vegetation in the RPA buffer for all desired uses?No
Does the locality have a written policy for permitting woodlot management and views and vistas?YesNo Describe the policies
Does the locality require mitigation and or replacement of vegetation when buffer vegetation is cleared either with or without prior approval?YesNo Describe the policies used in designing buffer mitigation measures

Describe the steps the locality takes to ensure the long-term maintenance of features and buffers (i.e., public education efforts, plat notices, etc.)?	of RPA
Does the locality routinely permit RPA encroachments based on buffer equivalNo	ency?
If so, how is the equivalency determined?	
What process exists for refining the RPA delineation during the POD process?	
J. Regulatory Relief Mechanisms (9VAC 10-20- 140 through 160)	
Is there an administrative waiver process for expanding nonconforming struor building on nonconforming lots ?YesNo Does the process include a WQIA requirement for buffer encroachments? Describe the process.	uctures
Is there an administrative waiver process for expanding nonconforming struor building on nonconforming lots ?YesNo Does the process include a WQIA requirement for buffer encroachments?	ts were

Describe the process.
How many exemptions were permitted during the past year? Generally describe each project (location, amount of encroachment, type of permitted activity, i.e., public road, passive recreation facility, etc.)
How many exceptions to the Regulations were considered during the past year? How many exceptions were granted during past year? Was a WQIA required for each exception considered? Yes No If not, why not?
If the Chesapeake Bay Preservation Act and Regulations are locally administered through a Zoning Ordinance, do all exception requests go to BZA?YesNo If no, how is the process administered?
If the Regulations are implemented through a "stand alone" ordinance, what board or review authority is empowered to grant exceptions?
Describe the exception process and provide a sample application for an exception.

IV. PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION AND ENFORCEMENT A. Development Review Mechanisms

Provide a copy of the application materials for a building permit and E&S permit Does the application include information necessary to ensure that Bay Act program requirements are met?YesNo If not, what elements are missing?		
Provide a copy of the forms used in reviewing applications (checklists, computation sheets, etc.) Is the information adequate to ensure that Bay Act program requirements are met?YesNo If not, what elements are missing?		
When and by whom are Chesapeake Bay Ordinance compliance inspections undertaken?		
B. Complaint Process		
Is there a complaint process in place?YesNo		
How many violation complaints related to Chesapeake Bay program elements were received in past year?		
Describe the process for investigating violation complaints.		
What is the process for resolving confirmed violations? Attach a sample Notice of Violation		
How many confirmed violations of the local program resulted in court action?		
What was the result of the court cases?		

IV. Field Investigation

The local program is only as good as its implementation "on the ground." In order to accurately gauge the effectiveness of the local program, it is necessary to visit development sites and observe, first-hand, how the various program elements are being used. In order to accomplish this the locality liaison and the local program contact will visit a number of sites representative of the various types of development that can be expected to occur under the Regulations. Not every type of development site will exist in each locality.

The types of development sites to be examined are: new residential subdivisions, new single-family construction, new commercial/office construction, development activity occurring on pre-1989 lots, additions to non-conforming structures, water-dependent facility construction, shoreline stabilization projects, redevelopment activities, sight-line clearing projects, exception sites, and sites upon which an RPA buffer violation has been verified.

Prior to visiting the site, the liaison/engineer will review the site plan and locality file on each project to gauge the site plan's completeness.

A. Materials to be considered in reviewing plans prior to site visits

(See the Field Investigation Checklist for each development type for additional information).

- i. Preliminary plans and all iterations of plans leading to final approval
- ii. The locally generated review comments
- iii. Calculations and documentation submitted with plans
- iv. WQIA/Exception/Waivers associated with any given plan
- v. Completed CBLAD review checklists
- vi. Inspection reports for erosion and sediment control plans or land disturbing permits

B. Site Visits

From the specific review materials provided as referenced above, and based on discussions with staff, CBLAD will select several sites to visit that exemplify a cross-section of the development types identified above. Field conditions will be compared to those on the plans and the Field Investigation Checklist for each form of development will be completed. Localities should feel free to suggest sites at which they have particular questions or issues they would like clarified.

VI. Conclusions and Recommendations

		ngs outlined in this checklist and the site plan and site visits apeake Bay Local Assistance Department staff reaches the
fc		and recommends that the following activities be undertaken
(u	attach other sheets as	necessary).
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
_		
LOC	ALITY:	
REAS	SON FOR COMPLIA	ANCE REVIEW:
	Board Motion	☐ Scheduled Review
	Local Request	☐ Other
MEE	TING DATES:	
		/
		/
DAT	E COMPLIANCE RI	EVIEW COMPLETED:/
Prepa	ared by:	
1	•	
	Locality Liaison	DATE DATE
	CBLAD Review E	ngineer — /_ /

wed by:	
-	/
Chief of Environmental Planning	
	/
Chief of Environmental Engineering	DATE
	//
Principal Planner	DATE

 $D: Ltyson \\ Implementation \\ Compliance Review Checklist. FINAL. doc$